arXiv:cond-mat/9206011v1 26 Jun 1992

Correlations for the S=1/2 Antiferromagnet on a Truncated Tetrahedron

D. Coffey[a] and S. A. Trugman[b]

[a] *Center for Materials Science, MS-K765, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545*

[b] *Theoretical Division, MS-B262, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545*

The antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian is investigated on a truncated tetrahedron, which is a closed 12 site system. We find that the ground state has many similarities to that of C_{60}. We study 2- and 4-spin correlations in the classical ground state of the truncated tetrahedron and calculate the same correlations in the exact S=1/2 ground state. We find that the classical correlations survive for a range of bond strengths in the Heisenberg Hamiltonian and that one can construct a good trial wavefunction based on the classical ground state. This suggests that the correlations present in the classical ground state of C_{60} also survive in the exact ground state of that system, for a range of bond strengths about the physically relevant $J_2 \approx J_1$. We calculate the momentum-space correlation function $S(q)$, which is measurable by neutron scattering, for both C_{12} and C_{60}. We also calculate correlations at finite temperature.

75.10-b, 75.25+z, 75.30.Kz and 75.30.-m

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

I. INTRODUCTION

We have found that an antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian on C_{60} has an exotic ground state with nontrivial topology. [1] The Heisenberg Hamiltonian arises from a tight-binding Hamiltonian describing the half-filled π orbitals at the carbon sites, assuming that there is strong Coulomb repulsion between electrons in these orbitals. The repulsion may be either long or short range. The exotic classical ($S = \infty$) ground state has nontrivial topology, with a skyrmion number 7. (The vector field $\hat{n}$ that is normal to the spin plane of the pentagons covers the sphere 7 times.) C_{60} is, however, a spin 1/2 system, and quantum corrections are expected to be important. Estimates using perturbation theory indicate that the corrections are about 60% to the ground state energy and about 40% to the magnetization per site. Given the size of these corrections, there is concern that the perturbative results may be misleading.

The truncated tetrahedron C_{12} is system that is similar to C_{60}, but is small enough that the $S = 1/2$ problem can be solved exactly. [2] The classical ground state for C_{12} also has nontrivial topology, with a skyrmion number 1. Here we investigate 2- and 4-spin correlations in the exact ground state of the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet on C_{12}, and compare to correlations in the classical ground state. We find that the classical correlations survive in the exact ground state for a wide range of the parameter J_2/J_1, the ratio of the two bond strengths in the system. We also construct an $S = 1/2$ variational wavefunction based on a coherent state representation of the classical ground state spin configuration, and show that it has a large overlap with the exact ground state.

These results suggest that the correlations found in the classical ground state of C_{60} also survive for $S = 1/2$ for a range of bond strengths. There is expected to be no phase transition in the exact ground state as a function of on-site repulsion U in the Hubbard model on the C_{60} lattice. [1,3] The spin correlations for the large U limit Heisenberg antiferromagnet are therefore expected to be present, although somewhat reduced in magnitude, for the physically relevant case of intermediate U.

II. CLASSICAL APPROXIMATION

As in the case of C_{60}, all carbon atoms on C_{12} are equivalent, but there are two inequivalent types of bonds. The magnetic exchange constant for two neighboring sites on the same triangle is $J_1 = t_1^2/U$, where t_1 is the hopping matrix element and U is the Hubbard interaction. The constant connecting a site on one triangle with a nearest neighbor on another triangle is $J_2 = t_2^2/U$. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = J_1 \sum_{<j,k>}^{t} \vec{\sigma}_j \cdot \vec{\sigma}_k + J_2 \sum_{<j,k>}^{nt} \vec{\sigma}_j \cdot \vec{\sigma}_k, \tag{1}$$

where the first sum is over the 12 triangle bonds, and the second over the 6 non-triangle bonds. We take J_1 =1 and investigate the properties of this Heisenberg Hamiltonian on C_{12} as a function of J_2. We first treat the Hamiltonian classically, so that $\vec{\sigma}$ in Eq. (1) is a classical unit vector. This is the same as the $S \to \infty$ limit. We discuss the properties of the exact quantum ($S = 1/2$) ground state in the next section.

The truncated tetrahedron has 4 triangular faces and 4 hexagonal faces. An antiferromagnet is frustrated on the triangular faces. For an isolated triangle, the ground state energy is $J_1 \cos(2\pi/3) = -J_1/2$ per bond, which is smaller than the $-J_1$ per bond that an unfrustrated system would have. If the 12 spins on the truncated tetrahedron are able to find an arrangement so that the different triangles do not further frustrate each other, the ground state energy will reach the lower bound $E_b = 12J_1 \cos(2\pi/3) - 6J_2$. The lower bound is an energy $-J_1/2$ per triangle bond, and $-J_2$ per non-triangle bond. The classical ground state configuration was found numerically by minimizing the energy over the spin variables $\{\theta_i, \phi_i\},\ i = 1, 12$ (see Fig. 1). We find that C_{12} does attain the lower bound E_b, but only in a topologically nontrivial spin arrangement. In comparison, C_{60} also attains its lower bound, but other fullerenes C_{20}, C_{70}, and C_{84} do not. [1]

The ground state configuration for C_{12} is the same for all positive J_1 and J_2. In addition to the global rotational symmetry of the ground state, there is a discrete parity symmetry whereby each spin $\vec{\sigma}_i \to -\vec{\sigma}_i$. The state related to a ground state G_1 by parity cannot be

reached by a global rotation on G_1. Although the ground state spin configuration is non co-planar, it may be easily visualized. There is a global rotation such that the spins on each triangle lie in the plane of that triangle. The skyrmion number s for a set of vectors $\vec{v}_i$ defined at points i on the surface of a sphere is given by

$$s = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum \alpha(\vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j, \vec{v}_k), \tag{2}$$

where α is the solid angle subtended by three vectors and the sum is over a set of fundamental triangles that cover the sphere. The skyrmion number for C_{12} and C_{60} is calculated by introducing "twist" bond variables $\hat{n}_i$ on the triangle bonds for the vectors $\vec{v}_i$, with

$$\hat{n}_i \sim \vec{\sigma}_j \times \vec{\sigma}_k, \tag{3}$$

and one finds that both of the parity-related ground states of C_{12} have skyrmion number 1. (The skyrmion number cannot be defined directly on the spin variables because the solid angle in Eq. (2) is undefined for many sets of 3 neighboring spins.) The $\hat{n}_i$ defined by any pair of spins on the same triangle are equal. The spin configuration may be chosen so that the $\hat{n}_i$ point along the normals to the triangles as shown in Fig. (1). The sum of all $\hat{n}_i$ is zero. The net magnetic moment in the ground state is also zero.

In the presence of an applied magnetic field, C_{12} has a *second order* metamagnetic transition at which dM/dh is discontinuous. The skyrmion number is 1 both above and below the transition. In contrast, C_{20} and C_{60}, both of whose ground states have skyrmion number 7, have a first order metamagnetic transition at which M is discontinuous.

III. SPIN 1/2

For $S = 1/2$, the σ matrices in Eq. (1) represent 2 x 2 Pauli spin matrices. (Our convention is to use σ matrices, which are a factor of 2 larger than s matrices.) The Heisenberg Hamiltonian on the truncated tetrahedron was solved in each S_z sector separately. [4] The dimension of the $S_z = 0$ sector is 924 and that of the $S_z = 1$ sector is 792, so that

the total spin $S = 0$ subspace has dimension $924 - 792 = 132$. The lowest energy eigenvalue is present in the $S_z = 0$ sector and absent from the $S_z = 1$ sector, so the ground state is a singlet. We calculate various correlation functions as a function of J_2/J_1. In C_{60}, J_2 is expected to be nearly equal to, but slightly larger than J_1, because the corresponding non-pentagon bond is about 3% shorter than the pentagon bond. [5] C_{12} has not been synthesized, but we consider $J_2 \approx J_1$ to be the region of physical interest.

First we consider the quantum two-spin correlations $g_{ij} =< \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j >$. There are five inequivalent g_{ij}'s on the truncated tetrahedron, which are represented by g_{12}, g_{14}, g_{15}, g_{18} and g_{19}, where the subscripts refer to the site labeling in Fig. (1). The g_{ij}'s, with the exception of g_{19}, are plotted in Fig. (2). (The classical g_{19} is zero, and the quantum $|g_{19}| < 0.1$ for all J_2.) The functions g_{12} and g_{14} are the triangle and non-triangle bond energies divided by the bond strengths. One sees that as $J_2 \to 0$, g_{12} approaches -1, the value on an isolated triangle. Surprisingly, as $J_2 \to 0$, the correlation function g_{14} remains large and negative. When $J_2 \equiv 0$, there is no correlation between spins on different triangles. Then $< \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_4 >$ is averaged over a manifold of degenerate ground states and is equal to zero. For J_2 small, however, the expectation is over the unique ground state and is nonzero. In the opposite limit of large J_2, g_{14} approaches -3 and all other g_{ij} fall to zero. This reflects the fact that in this limit, the wavefunction becomes a product of singlet valence bonds on the 6 non-triangle bonds, denoted $|VB>$. The values of g_{15} and g_{18}, the third and fourth nearest neighbor correlation functions, both peak at $J_1 \approx J_2$.

We now calculate correlations between the spin plane normals $\hat{n}_i$ in the exact ground state. This will involve four-spin correlation functions. As we pointed out in the discussion of the classical ground state, the sum of the spin plane normals $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{n}_i$ for the four triangles is zero, so that classically

$$\hat{n}_1 \cdot (\hat{n}_2 + \hat{n}_3 + \hat{n}_4) = -1. \tag{4}$$

These correlations may be measured by

$$G =< \vec{n}_1 \cdot (\vec{n}_2 + \vec{n}_3 + \vec{n}_4) >, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\vec{n}_\alpha = (\vec{\sigma}_i \times \vec{\sigma}_j + \vec{\sigma}_j \times \vec{\sigma}_k + \vec{\sigma}_k \times \vec{\sigma}_i)/3. \tag{6}$$

The spins on triangle α are numbered counterclockwise (i, j, k). (The $\hat{n}_\alpha$ in Eq. (4) are normalized to unit length, but the $\vec{n}_\alpha$ in Eqs. (5-6) are not.)

The function G measures the relative orientation of the spin-plane normals, and involves correlations between spins over the whole system. It is plotted in Fig. (3). As J_2 increases from zero, $|G|$ increases slowly to its maximum at $J_2/J_1 \approx 0.3$. For large J_2, $|G|$ approaches zero as the wavefunction approaches $|VB>$ and all correlations other than those for the singlet on nearest neighbor non-triangle bonds vanish. The four-point function G falls off at somewhat smaller values of J_2 than the two-point functions in Fig. (2). The quantum correlation functions will be compared with classical and other correlation functions below. Note that the skyrmion number itself is not a good quantum number for the quantum system.

Singh and Narayanan [6] have emphasised that in identifying a nonvanishing expectation value of an operator in the ground state as evidence for magnetic order, it is important to show that the expectation value is considerably larger at zero than at infinite temperature. Note in this regard that G and all of the g_{ij} are traceless operators and thus have zero expectation value at $T = \infty$.

A classical ground state has each spin pointing in a fixed direction. The most straightforward translation of a classical ground state into a spin 1/2 wavefunction is to have each of the quantum spins point in the same fixed directions. The wavefunction for the first spin is the two-component spinor $|c_1>$ that is the ground state of $H_1 = -\vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1$, where $\vec{h}_1$ points in the classical spin direction. These are coherent states. The full wavefunction is

$$|C> = |c_1>|c_2> \ldots |c_{12}> . \tag{7}$$

Since the classical ground state configuration is independent of J_2/J_1, so is the trial wavefunction $|C>$ (and other trial wavefunctions discussed below). The expectation of the spin

correlation functions in the trial wavefunction $|C>$ and in the exact groundstate $|\psi_{ex}>$ for $J_1 = J_2$ are shown in Table 1. The exact ground state has large 2-spin and 4-spin correlations, even at large separations. The correlation functions g_{ij} $(i \neq j)$ and G are identical in $|C>$ and in the classical ground state. The state $|C>$ does reasonably well with all of the correlation functions, including G. The largest error is the underestimate of g_{14}, which has some singlet character in $|\psi_{ex}>$, but can have only Ising character in $|C>$.

Any global rotation of a classical ground state gives another ground state. Thus a better trial state would be to sum $|C>$ over all global rotations, or equivalently to project it onto the singlet subspace. As mentioned in Section II, the parity operation $\vec{\sigma}_i \rightarrow -\vec{\sigma}_i$ results in another set of ground states that cannot be reached by rotations. We therefore define states $|\psi_S^1>$ and $|\psi_S^2>$ as the (normalized) singlet projections of the parity related coherent states. An additional related state is found by taking a linear combination of these,

$$|\psi_S> = a_1|\psi_S^1> + a_2|\psi_S^2>, \tag{8}$$

where a_1 and a_2 are complex numbers with $|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 = 1$. We determine a_1 and a_2 in Eq. (8) by maximizing $|<\psi_S|\psi_{ex}>|^2$. (Virtually identical results are obtained by minimizing the energy.) The amplitudes a_1 and a_2 are found to have equal magnitudes and a phase difference that is almost independent of J_2/J_1. In Fig. (4) we plot the norm of the overlap squared of these states and of $|VB>$ with the exact ground state $|\psi_{ex}>$. One sees that $|<\psi_S|\psi_{ex}>|^2$ and $|<\psi_S^1|\psi_{ex}>|^2$ are both peaked near $J_1 = J_2$, and that $|\psi_S>$ is a good approximation to the ground state when $J_2 \approx J_1$. $|VB>$ becomes exact for $J_2 \gg J_1$, but is already failing in the region of physical interest, $J_2 \approx J_1$.

The correlation functions of the trial states $|VB>$, $|\psi_S^1>$, and $|\psi_S>$ are given in Table 1. ($|\psi_S^2>$ has the same correlation functions as $|\psi_S^1>$, and is not shown.) The state $|VB>$ gives no indication of either the magnitude or sign of any spin correlation function other than g_{14}. As the variational wavefunction is improved in the sequence $|C> \rightarrow |\psi_S^1> \rightarrow |\psi_S>$, the correlation functions generally approach those of the exact ground state. Convergence is best at short distances and worst for the long distance g_{18}. By examining Figs. (2-3) and

Table 1, one sees that the trial state $|\psi_S>$ gives a reasonable approximation to all of the correlation functions not just for $J_2 \approx J_1$, but also as $J_2 \to 0$. The agreement is remarkably good considering that zero-point spin-wave fluctuations have not been added to any of the trial states.

We now compare the energy of trial states and that obtained from perturbation theory to the exact ground state energy. High energy contributions from non-singlet states are removed by projecting $|C>$ onto the singlet subspace. The energy of $|\psi_S>$ is thus lower than that of $|C>$. The energy of $|\psi_S>$ is -8.803 J_1 -12.325 J_2, which is an upper bound on the exact ground state energy. For $J_1 = J_2 = 1$, this energy is -21.128, which is to be compared with an exact ground state energy of -22.804. From perturbation theory, the leading correction to the ground state energy is

$$\Delta E = \sum_j{}' \frac{|<j|H|0>|^2}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_j}, \tag{9}$$

where $|0>=|C>$ and the sum is over intermediate states $|j>$ with two adjacent spin flips. (The ground state is determined by stability against single spin flips.) For C_{12} this is

$$\Delta E = -6\frac{J_2^2}{J_1} - \frac{27}{2}\frac{J_1^2}{(2J_2 + J_1)}. \tag{10}$$

The perturbed ground state energy is $E^{(2)} = E_{cl} + \Delta E$, with $E_{cl} = -6(J_1 + J_2)$. This expression clearly overestimates the correction to the classical ground state energy when $J_2 \gg J_1$, but it does fairly well for $J_2 \approx J_1$. For $J_1 = J_2 = 1$, $E^{(2)} = -22.500$, which should be compared with the exact ground state energy of -22.804.

For C_{60}, we expect the trial state $|VB>$ to be less successful than for C_{12} in competing with the other trial states. The reason is that C_{12} contains highly frustrated triangle bonds, which can be sacrificed at relatively low cost by the formation of singlets on the non-triangle bonds. A pentagon is less frustrated than a triangle, with a classical energy per bond of -.809, as compared to a triangle's -0.5 and an unfrustrated bond's -1. It is therefore reaonable to expect that the correlations found in the classical ground state of C_{60}, which with skyrmion number 7 are more exotic than those in the truncated tetrahedron, should survive for a larger range of J_2 values.

Neutron scattering experiments can measure $S(\vec{q})$, the Fourier transform of the spin-spin correlation function. We calculate $S(q)$, which is S averaged over all relative orientations of $\vec{q}$ and the molecule. This would be appropriate for a sample containing molecules of random orientations. Neglecting form factors,

$$S(q) = \sum_{i,j} < \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j > \sin(qr_{ij})/qr_{ij}, \tag{11}$$

where r_{ij} is the cartesian distance between sites i and j. We assume that the triangle and non-triangle bonds lengths are equal. The calculated S(q) for $|\psi_{ex}>$, $|\psi_S>$, $|VB>$, and the coherent state $|C>$ are shown in Fig. (5). (S(q) for $|C>$ is not zero at q=0 because $|C>$ is not a singlet.) If the triangle and non-triangle bond lengths differ by very little, as is the case for the pentagon and non-pentagon bonds in C_{60}, it is difficult to separate the contributions to S(q) from g_{12} and g_{14}. The longer distance spin correlations, present in all of the wavefunctions except $|VB>$, cause higher frequency oscillations in $S(q)$. This leads, for example, to a shoulder at $qr_{12}/\pi \approx 2.7$, which is strongest in $|C>$ but still visible in the exact ground state.

For C_{60}, we do not know $|\psi_{ex}>$ and show $S(q)$ for the coherent state $|C>$ obtained from the classical ground state, and for the state $|VB>$ with singlets on the non-pentagon bonds. There is a great deal more structure in S(q) for $|C>$ than for $|VB>$. These features will, however, be smoothed out somewhat for the exact ground state wavefunction for C_{60} as they were for C_{12}.

Finite temperature: We have calculated the C_{12} correlation functions g_{ij} at finite temperature, using the exact eigenfunctions with Boltzmann weight. As expected, all g_{ij} for distinct spins approach zero as $T \to \infty$. Two of the correlation functions, however, are nonmonotonic. The correlation g_{12} between spins on the same triangle increases in magnitude by 6% with increasing T before decreasing. The correlation g_{19}, which is quite small at zero temperature, actually changes sign and increases in magnitude by a factor of 16 before decreasing to zero.

The finite temperature properties can help to interpret recent Quantum Monte Carlo

studies on the C_{60} Hubbard model with 60 electrons by Scalettar et al. [7] They find that for $U/t = 8$, the spin correlations on C_{60} resemble those of the classical ground state at short and intermediate distances, but decay to near zero at the largest separations. A crucial question is whether this long distance decay is a property of the quantum ground state, or whether it is caused by the finite temperature of the simulation. For C_{12}, we calculated the exact $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg partition function for J corresponding to $U/t = 8$ and the lowest temperature $T_1 = t/5$ reached. The temperature $T_1 = 0.582\Delta$, where Δ is the gap to the first excited state. The first excited state is, however, 9-fold degenerate counting spatial and spin degrees of freedom, and higher excited states are fairly close. At $T = T_1$, the system has a probability 0.19 to be in the quantum ground state, and the correlation function g_{18} is 48% of its zero temperature value. C_{60} should require a lower temperature than C_{12} for the long distance spin correlations to reach their zero temperature values. *If* the C_{60} ground state had spin correlations extending across the molecule, one would expect the C_{60} excitation energies, which correspond to magnons at allowed nonzero wavevector, to be smaller than those of C_{12} by roughly a factor of two ($\approx \sqrt{12/60}$). By this estimate, C_{60} at a given temperature would have similar properties to C_{12} at twice that temperature. At $T = 2T_1$, C_{12} has a probability 0.028 to be in the ground state, and a long distance correlation function that is reduced by a factor of 6 from its zero temperature value. This suggests that the decay of spin correlations at large distances observed in the Quantum Monte Carlo studies may be a finite temperature effect.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The classical Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the truncated tetrahedron has an exotic ground state with nontrivial topology and nonzero spin correlations up to the longest distances in the system. To see whether quantum fluctuations for $S = 1/2$ destroy these correlations, we exactly diagonalized the $S = 1/2$ problem. We find that the classical ground state gives a very good indication of the exact quantum 2-spin and 4-spin correlation functions

for $J_1 \approx J_2$. The classical ground state is also used to generate trial $S = 1/2$ wavefunctions with good overlap against the ground state for $J_1 \approx J_2$. Although the wavefunction $|VB>$ with singlet valence bonds on the non-triangle bonds is the correct one for $J_1 \ll J_2$, this state gives no inkling of the long range correlations that develop for the $J_1 \approx J_2$ region of physical interest.

This work has implications for the magnetic properties of C_{60}, in which an exotic classical ground state has been found. The results for C_{12} suggest that the $S = 1/2$ quantum fluctuations will not destroy the classical spin correlations in C_{60}. The quantum fluctuations may play a smaller role in C_{60}, because the spin arrangement is less frustrated than that of C_{12}. There is also concern that spin correlations in C_{60} can be destroyed because $U/t \approx 4$ is not large enough. We have argued that the exact quantum ground state of the Hubbard model in C_{60} is unlikely to have a phase transition as a function of U/t, and thus that the large U spin correlations, somewhat reduced in magnitude, should be observable in real C_{60}. [1] Evidence for these magnetic correlations may be found in inelastic neutron scattering experiments. It may also be possible to infer the spin correlations by doing NMR on a C_{60} molecule with two ^{12}C nuclei replaced by ^{13}C. It remains to investigate how doping affects the spin correlations, and to what extent spin correlations affect the properties of doped C_{60} crystals, such as K_3C_{60}. [8]

The authors would like to thank A. Balatsky, E. Dagotto, S. Doniach, D. Guo, M. Inui, D. Rokhsar, and J. R. Schrieffer for useful conversations. DC acknowledges the support of the Center for Materials Science through the Program in Correlated Electron Theory. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Coffey and S. A. Trugman, preprint LA-UR-91-3302.

[2] The ground state energy of the Hubbard model on the truncated tetrahedron as a function of doping has been studied by S. R. White, S. Chakravarty, M. P. Gelfand, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 5062 (1992).

[3] For a finite quantum system at zero temperature, a phase transition occurs as a function of the parameters only if the quantum numbers change. For C_{12} and C_{60}, the only quantum numbers are the spin S and the angular momentum L (actually what is left of L under the finite rotation group of the tetrahedron or icosahedron). For both C_{12} and C_{60}, $S = L = 0$ for $U/t = 0$. For C_{12}, we have determined from the exact spectrum that $S = L = 0$ in the Heisenberg limit ($U/t \to \infty$). For C_{60}, in the large U limit, we know only that the classical ground state has $\vec{S}$=0. The simplest hypothesis consistent with these facts is that the Hubbard model has no phase transition as a function of U/t for either C_{12} or C_{60}.

[4] There is a more efficient valence bond basis for calculating $S = 0$ eigenfunctions, but this system is small enough that we did not need to use that method. See K. Chang, I. Affleck, G. W. Hayden, and Z. G. Soos, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **1**, 153 (1989).

[5] C. S. Yannoni et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **113**, 3190 (1991).

[6] R. R. Singh and R. Narayanan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1072 (1990).

[7] R. T. Scalettar, E. Dagotto, L. Bergomi, T. Jolicoeur, and H. Monien, Florida State University preprint.

[8] A. F. Hebard et al., Nature **350**, 600 (1991).

TABLES

TABLE I. Correlation functions for the trial wavefunctions and for the exact ground state $|\psi_{ex}>$ with $J_2 = J_1$.

	$\|VB>$	$\|C>$	$\|\psi_S^1>$	$\|\psi_S>$	$\|\psi_{ex}>$
g_{12}	0	-0.5	-0.7745	-0.7336	-0.7295
g_{14}	-3	-1.0	-1.5890	-2.0541	-2.3416
g_{15}	0	0.5	0.4761	0.5131	0.4453
g_{18}	0	-0.5	-0.7745	-0.7323	-0.4916
g_{19}	0	0	-0.1088	-0.0418	0.0013
G	0	-0.75	-0.4879	-0.5548	-0.5947

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Spin configuration on a truncated tetrahedron. A spin pointing up out of the plane has a larger head, and one pointing into the plane has a larger tail. For the global rotation shown, all spins lie in the physical plane of their triangle, which is the plane of the paper for triangle (123). The truncated tetrahedron has been flattened by stretching the back hexagon. The spin directions are shown unchanged.

FIG. 2. Two-spin correlation functions $g_{ij} =< \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j >$, g_{12}(dot-dash line), g_{14}(dash line), g_{15}(full line), and g_{18}(dot line), as a function of J_2/J_1.

FIG. 3. Four-spin correlation function G, defined in Eq. (5), as a function of J_2/J_1.

FIG. 4. Overlap squared of the trial wavefunctions with the exact ground state, $| < \psi_S|\psi_{ex} > |^2$ (full line), $| < \psi_S^1|\psi_{ex} > |^2 = | < \psi_S^2|\psi_{ex} > |^2$ (dot-dash line), and $| < VB|\psi_{ex} > |^2$ (dot line).

FIG. 5. The angle-averaged spin correlation function $S(q)$ for C_{12} is plotted as a function of qr_{12}/π for $|\psi_{ex} >$(full line), $|\psi_S >$(dot line), $|VB >$(dot-dash line), and $|C >$(dash line).

FIG. 6. The angle-averaged spin correlation function $S(q)$ for C_{60} is plotted as a function of qr_{12}/π for $|C >$(full line) and $|VB >$(dash line).